



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/786,831	02/25/2004	Daniel E. Tedesco	02-100A	2974		
7590 05/02/2006			EXAMINER			
Innovation Strategies and Law Group, LLC			DESIRE, GR	DESIRE, GREGORY M		
Box 17295 Stamford, CT 06907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2624			
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/786,83	1	DANIEL TEDESCO					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Gregory M	. Desire	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. utory period will apply and wil ill, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim 1 expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nety filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)☐ 〔 3)☐ 〔	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2t Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is no or allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is				
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5) □ (6) □ (7) □ (8) □ (6) □ (7) □	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the apparal of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the Che drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2	e withdrawn from core on and/or election re	equirement.	d to by the Examin	er.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/29/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al (6,128,396) in view of Moustafa (6,959,109).

Regarding claims 1 and 12 Hasegawa discloses,

Receiving a captured image from an image capture device (note fig. 2, block 11, camera);

Storing the captured image (note fig. 2, block 12);

Associating each captured image with a respective location based on its respective image capture device (note fig. 2, blocks 14 and 15);

Transmitting a first captured image to at least one neuron (note fig. 3, blocks 29, learning command);

Receiving a result for at least one neuron (note col. 7 lines 1-7).

Determining whether results indicate that human is present in the first captured image (note col. 6 lines 43-50); and

Determining an alarm condition based on the result (note fig. 3 block 27 and col. 6 lines 52-57)

Application/Control Number: 10/786,831

Art Unit: 2624

Hasegawa does not clearly disclose selecting additional neurons. Mustafa discloses selecting additional neurons (note col. 7 lines 34-45, plurality neurons, outputs additional outputs). Hasegawa and Moustafa are combinable because they are from human detection. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include additional neurons in the system of Hasegawa as disclosed in Moustafa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been calculating output values at different situations (note col. 5 lines 1-10). Therefore, it would have obvious to combine Hasegawa with Moustafa to obtain the invention as specified in the above claims

Regarding claim 2 Hasegawa discloses,

One image capture device is configured to preprocess captured images (note fig. 1, block 1).

Regarding claim 3, Hasegawa discloses,

Node is further configured to preprocess at least some of the captured images.

Regarding claim 4, Hasegawa discloses,

Detection motion (note fig. 1, block 2) and capture an image in response to detected motion (col. 4 lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 5, Hasegawa discloses,

Application/Control Number: 10/786,831

Art Unit: 2624

Result received from the at least one neuron is a one-bit indication of the result (note fig. 3, block 29).

Regarding claims 6 and 12 Hasegawa discloses,

Presence of a human is present (note col. 7 lines 25-28),

Absence of a human is present in the image (note col. 7 lines 29-32),

Uncertainty as to whether a human is present in the image (note col. 7 lines 34-37).

Regarding claim 7 Hasegawa and Moustafa discloses,

At least one neuron has an associated weight, and in which the node is configured to select the at least one additional neurons based further on the weight of the at least one neuron (note Moustafa col. 10 lines 26-31).

Regarding claims 8 and 13 Hasegawa discloses,

At least one neuron has an associated weight, and in which the node is configured to determine the alarm condition based further on the weight of the at least one neuron (note fig. 1, block 27).

Regarding claim 9 Hasegawa and Moustafa discloses,

Neuron has an associated weight, and in which the node is configured to adjust the weights base on based further on the results (note col. 10 lines 10-14).

Regarding claim 10 Hasegawa and Moustafa discloses,

A layer analyzer, which is configured to allocate the neurons to different layers, based on respective weights of the neurons (note fig. 4, shows, input nodes, hidden nodes and output nodes showing different layers).

Regarding claim 11 Hasegawa discloses,

Image capture device are arranged to capture images from area in which human activity is desired to be substantially nonexistent (note col. 7 lines 30-33).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,831 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

Gregory assiré

G.D. April 30, 2006